

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,460 02/20/2004		Cristian E. Anghel	H0004455-1045 7456		
7590 05/13/2005		•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Larry J. Palgut	ta		LEYKIN	i, RITA	
Honeywell Law	Department				
3520 Westmoor Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
South Bend, IN 46628			2837		

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
055' 4-4' 0	10/783,460	ANGHEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rita Leykin	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frow the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	is action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-15 and 17-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/s	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application or the properties of	ation Noved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \[\begin{align*} \land{\text{lmf-n-d-n-0}} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	n/ (DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/04</u>. 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jansen et al. US# 5,585,709.
- 3. With respect to claims 1, 2, 6, and 12, 13, 17 Jansen et al. teach a drive system for polyphase AC machine that provides power to the stator windings of the machine which includes a component at the fundamental drive frequency. Wherein the synchronous inductance in synchronous machines changes as a periodic function of rotor rotational position. And wherein the stator response at the signal frequency may be detected and measured to provide a correlation between the magnitude of response at the signal frequency and the rotor position. The information on rotor position as a function of time can be utilized in a controller to provide appropriate fundamental frequency drive power to the motor to drive the motor at a desired speed or torque, or to a desired position.

In Jansen et al. Fig. 1, the position and velocity observer 43 receives excitation signal frequency current and voltage signals on lines 44 and 45 from a filter and coordinate transform circuit 46 which is connected to lines 34-36 to measure a voltage and current on these lines. The circuit 46 by coordinate transformation converts the

measured three phase motor currents into the equivalent d-axis and q-axis. Based on measured voltage the system also provides two equivalent d-axis and q-axis voltages. The position and velocity observer 43 uses information on lines 44 and 45 to provide estimates for the rotor position and speed, which is provided as, outputs on lines 47, 48. The filters and coordinate transform 46 reads on applicant's filtering phase voltage signals output from main stator windings of the synchronous machine, and in combination with position and velocity observer outputs 47 and 48 also reads on claimed two-phase quadrature signals indicating positioning of the rotor. The system utilizes field oriented controller 71 that is provided with velocity input from observer 43 via state space motion controller, wherein the output of 71 is connected to current regulated inverter with high frequency signal injection 38. That reads on applicant's control of excitation frequency as a function of rotor speed.

With respect to claims 4 and 15, Fig. 4a and 4b show the utilization of BPF (band pass filter) and wherein the fixed pass bands in form of LPF and HPF (low pass filter and high pass filter) are used.

With respect to claims 7 and 18, please see sited in Jansen et al. publication "Analog Devices 1989/90 Data Conversion Products Databook, Synchro & Resolver Converters, AD2S90 Resolver-To-Digital Converter.

With respect to claims 8 and 19 the use of Clarke transformation to convert three phase quantities into two-phase quadrature quantities is well known.

With respect to claims 9 and 20, in the system of FIG. 4A, signals representing the quadrature output voltages V^sqds are also provided from the transform circuit 83 on

lines 86 to a band-pass filter 87. The filtered signals V^sqdsi are subtracted at a summing junction 89 from a commanded signal V^s*qdsi (e.g., a desired constant amplitude, balanced polyphase signal).

With respect to claims 10, 11 and 21, 22 it appears in Jansen et al. teaching in column 1, lines 62 that due to structure of rotor the rotor impedance is changed and affects the response of the stator windings to the excitation signal at the signal frequency as a function of rotor rotational position, since a field saturation dependents of the field impedance.

Hence, it has been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art, at the time invention was made to use Jansen et al. teaching to control motor speed and/or rotor position via controlling excitation frequency without of use of rotor position detecting device.

The reason is to estimate position and/or velocity of the ac machine.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5 and 16, objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. The prior art made of record in the attached form PTO-892 considered to be pertinent to the submitted application.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,460 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

6. However, none of the prior art teaches or suggest in combination:

• The definition of fixed pass band according to claimed equation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita Leykin whose telephone number is (571)272-2066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571)272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita Leykin Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837

R.L.